**FILED** 

### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 13 2008

# MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

XIANGSHAN YUAN,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73462

Agency No. A79-533-644

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 26, 2008 \*\*

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

Xiangshan Yuan, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings based on ineffective assistance of counsel. To the extent we

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

have jurisdiction, it is pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo claims of due process violations in removal proceedings, including claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

Yuan's contention that equitable tolling excuses his untimely filing is moot because the BIA considered the merits of his motion. We agree with the BIA's conclusion that former counsel's performance did not result in prejudice to Yuan, and thus his claim of ineffective assistance of counsel fails. *See Rojas-Garcia v. Ashcroft*, 339 F.3d 814, 826 (9th Cir. 2003) (to prevail on an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, a petitioner must demonstrate prejudice).

Yuan's remaining contentions were previously addressed by this court's decision in *Yuan v. Gonzales*, No. 04-73216 (9th Cir. July 21, 2005).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.